

Antisialagogues: Role in Prosthodontics

¹Dr. Minal Tulsani, Department of Prosthodontics and Implantology, Saveetha Dental College And Hospitals, Saveetha Institute Of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

²Dr. Vaishnavi Rajaraman, Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics and Implantology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute Of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

³Dr. Divya Rupawat, Department of Prosthodontics and Implantology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute Of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

⁴Dr. Sanjana Devi, Department of Prosthodontics and Implantology, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute Of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

Citation of this Article: Dr. Minal Tulsani, Dr. Vaishnavi Rajaraman, Dr. Divya Rupawat, Dr. Sanjana Devi, “Antisialagogues: Role in Prosthodontics.”, IJDSQR – June - 2021, Vol. – 3, Issue - 3, P. No. 38-44.

Copyright: © 2021, Dr. Minal Tulsani, et al. This is an open access journal and article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution noncommercial License. Which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Minal Tulsani, Department of Prosthodontics and Implantology, Saveetha Dental College And Hospitals, Saveetha Institute Of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

Type of Publication: A Review Paper

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

The desirability of keeping the oral tissues relatively dry at the time of the various procedures is generally accepted. Salivary secretion is a viscid and watery extracellular fluid which is produced and secreted by salivary glands within the mouth. This secretion can be either produced in excess or deficient quantities: Hypersalivation or Hyposalivation respectively. Hypersalivation can complicate many dental procedures. A method found effective for controlling salivary secretion involves the use of an antisialagogue in combination with mouth rinses and gauze packs. Antisialagogues are drugs or substances

that help to reduce the salivary flow. Anticholinergic drugs are effective antisialagogues which are being used for reducing normal salivation during intraoral procedures. To support the use of antisialagogues during dental procedures or to reduce chair time do not have any strong scientific evidence. The side effects of using antisialagogue for a nonmedical indication only for some particular dental procedures have not been examined. The potential of these classes of drugs in prosthodontic treatment has not been explored much. Hence, this study aims to review the role of antisialagogues in prosthodontics.

Keywords

Antisialagogue, Saliva, Hypersalivation, Prosthodontics.

Introduction

Saliva is a viscid and watery extracellular fluid which is produced and secreted by salivary glands within the mouth ¹. Human saliva contains 99.5% water and other contents include 0.5% electrolytes, mucus, white blood cells, epithelial cells, enzymes, antimicrobial agents ^{2,3}. Saliva has manifold functions in protecting the integrity of the oral mucosa by lubricating mouth and upper aerodigestive tract.

Salivary secretion dysfunction can be caused due to various reasons. Hyposalivation and hypersalivation (ptyalism) are such extreme dysfunction. Hypersalivation is excessive production of saliva. Hypersalivation can complicate dental procedures like lower arch surgery, bonding or orthodontic bracketing, bridge and crown cementation, laminate and veneers cementation, cord packing, impression taking mainly full mouth impressions, operative dental procedures, restoration procedures mainly filling class V cavities and sealants and radiographic procedures when a dry field is important ⁴. When the mucus secretion from these glandular aggregates is not controlled adequately, the accuracy of the complete denture impression is affected markedly, as many impression materials are hydrophobic ⁵. Zinc oxide and eugenol impression pastes, polysulfide, and synthetic rubber impression materials are more likely to be suffering from glandular secretions. The desirability of keeping the oral tissues relatively dry at the time of the various procedures is generally accepted. A method found effective for controlling salivary secretion involves the use of an antisialagogue in combination with mouth rinses and gauze packs ⁵.

Antisialagogues are drugs or substances that help to reduce the salivary flow. Their origin could also be both natural and artificial. They decrease the flow by altering the action of myoepithelial cells within the salivary glands, by blocking the response to acetylcholine at the effector organs innervated by postganglionic cholinergic fibres, thus producing a dry field ⁶. Anticholinergic drugs are effective antisialagogues which are being used for reducing normal salivation during intraoral procedures. Atropine sulfate is the first anti-cholinergic which is marketed specifically for dentistry as it is indicated for reduction of salivation and bronchial secretions. Other antisialagogues used are scopolamine, glycopyrrolate, methantheline, propantheline, hyoscyamine. These drugs may produce side effects, like cycloplegia, mydriasis, and difficult urination, but intolerance is rare. Antisialagogues are contraindicated in patients with glaucoma, synechiae (adhesions between the iris and lens of the eye), pregnancy, asthma, prostate hypertrophy, myasthenia gravis, obstructive disease of GIT, allergy to drug, etc⁶.

The use of Antisialagogues in reducing salivary flow has been reviewed for more than 30 years ⁷. But it's use in dentistry in various fields have not been reviewed. Antisialagogues are used mainly as premedication in surgery and in orthodontics before bonding procedure. In prosthodontics use of antisialagogues can help in various procedures like impression making, cord packing, luting of fixed partial dentures mainly while cementing laminates and veneers, etc. It's role in prosthodontic has not been known. Hence, this study aims to review the role of antisialagogues in prosthodontics.

Review of Literature

The role of saliva is diverse and it plays a fundamental role in clearing the mouth of the food residues. It helps as a buffer to reduce the deleterious effects of strong acids and bases and provides the ions needed to remineralize the teeth. Additionally, it possesses antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral capacity^{8,9}. The components of saliva facilitate the motor functions of chewing, swallowing and speaking also as sensory and chemosensory functions within the mouth¹⁰. Salivary secretion plays a central role within the maintenance of oral homeostasis. The patients who have reduced salivary flow are in danger for serious oral complications like increase in oral infections like candidiasis, burning mouth, abnormal taste sensations, caries and difficulty with speech¹¹.

Hypersalivation is also a salivary secretion dysfunction which can be due to anxiety, or conditions like rabies, pellagra, pancreatitis, liver disease, pregnancy, Sjogren syndrome, etc. Medications which can cause hypersalivation are aripiprazole, clozapine, pilocarpine, ketamine, potassium chlorate, risperidone, pyridostigmine, etc. Substances that can cause hypersalivation include mercury, copper, organophosphates (insecticide), arsenic, nicotine, thallium, etc¹². Hypersalivation can also be due to some infections which decrease clearance of saliva such as tonsillitis, retropharyngeal and peritonsillar abscesses, epiglottitis and mumps, fracture or dislocation of the jaw, neurologic disorders such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, myasthenia gravis, parkinson's disease, multiple system atrophy, bulbar paralysis, bilateral facial nerve palsy, and hypoglossal nerve palsy^{13,14}.

The anticholinergic drugs (the most commonly used type of antisialogogues) that have been recognized by the American Dental Association (ADA) for

salivation control and are used in dentistry for that purpose are atropine, hyoscyamine, scopolamine, glycopyrrolate and propantheline as well as methantheline. These agents are known to decrease salivary secretion by cholinergic antagonist action. They decrease salivary secretion by inhibiting the action of myo-epithelial cells within the salivary glands thus producing a dry field. Anticholinergic drugs also cause bronchodilation and a decrease in bronchial secretion, rate of respiration could also be increased, reduce the tone of lower esophageal sphincter, gastric secretions are inhibited and gastric emptying is delayed. In therapeutic doses, mild vagal excitatory effects are noticed and in higher doses, prominent manifestations are restlessness, delirium, disorientation and hallucination, these are seen as a result of central nervous system excitation. This is often followed by generalized CNS depression. Physostigmine, 15 to 60 µg/kg intravenously is the specific treatment for anticholinergic overdose¹⁵. Anticholinergic are the most commonly used antisialogogues in dentistry.

Many dental procedures need dry mouth to yield better results. Lucia¹⁶ said that the mouth should be dry during the impression making procedure and suggested premeditating the patient with atropine sulfate or bantline. Atropine is usually used to treat nerve problems, bradycardia, poisoning, and as a premedication for surgeries¹⁷. Atropine and glycopyrrolate block muscarinic receptors showing vagolytic activity, but they have no activity on nicotinic receptors. Atropine sulfate or scopolamine is usually used to control secretion from salivary glands when taking impressions or cementing inlays and crowns, it's given from 1/300 to 1/150 grain of either atropine sulfate or scopolamine. intravenously, rarely more, and during

the procedure the patient's mouth is dry and stays so for a few hours¹⁸.

The mode of administration of antisialogogues is typically intravenously or intramuscularly¹⁷. Oral absorption of anticholinergic drugs isn't sufficiently predictable to warrant its use as antisialogogue, absorption with intramuscular approach is prompt. Atropine and scopolamine can easily penetrate the blood brain barrier as they are lipid-soluble tertiary amines and hence they have more side effects. Required intramuscular dose of atropine for reliable antisialogogue effect ranges from 10-20 microgram/kg weight. Oral dryness and blurred vision are seen within 10 to fifteen minutes after intramuscular administration of 0.4 to 0.6 mg of Atropine. It shouldn't be administered within 2 to 3 hours of ingestion of antacids or absorbent antidiarrheal drugs, as they impair absorption of atropine. Copen reported on the addition of atropine to dental local anesthetics.

Banthine and Pro-Banthine drugs are recommended as commonly used antisialogogues. Lucia¹⁶ has recommended oral administration of 50 mg of Banthine and 15 mg of phenobarbital 1 hour before appointment and the second dose just before starting the dental procedure^{5,19}. Side effects shown by these drugs are mydriasis, cycloplegia, difficulty in urination, intolerance to those drugs is rare. Atropine shows more side effects than Banthine. Pro-Banthine seems to be the drug of choice for salivary suppression during impression making procedures because it is a stronger drug than Banthine, and also has minimal side effects, rare intolerance, and simple oral administration⁵. Pro-Banthine 15 mg tablets which should be taken half-hour before commencing the medical procedure. Most of the antisialogogues have its duration of action from 4 to 6 hours⁵. Neither Banthine nor Pro-Banthine should be

administered to patients with glaucoma, prostatic hypertrophy, or cardiac conditions during which any increase within the pulse is contraindicated¹⁹.

Bernstein et al.²⁰ found that oral administration of glycopyrrolate had limited role in salivary and gastric secretion, but intramuscular glycopyrrolate significantly decreased salivary secretion, but didn't affect gastric secretion. In his study intravenous administration of glycopyrrolate on the opposite hand significantly decreased both salivary and gastric secretion due to higher peak level in plasma which wasn't achieved by intramuscular route. For antisialogogue effect the required intramuscular dose of glycopyrrolate is 5-8 microgram/kg weight²¹. Bernstein et al.²⁰ used 0.2 mg glycopyrrolate and 0.6 mg Atropine Sulphate as antisialogogue, both the drugs showed significant reduction in salivary secretion 30 min after intramuscular administration. Glycopyrrolate crosses the barrier to a minimal degree compared to atropine sulphate as glycopyrrolate may be a poorly lipid-soluble quaternary ammonium compound. Glycopyrrolate produced a more stable pulse rate with reduced frequency of tachycardia and arrhythmia. As Glycopyrrolate has a stable cardiac rate, it is considered superior to atropine sulphate as an antisialogogue²¹.

Methantheline and Propantheline (synthetic atropine derivatives) are few samples of antisialogogues. De Bré²² used methantheline bromide given orally as antisialogogues in dentistry, the various systemic actions of atropine would appear to preclude its general use for this purpose. Methantheline bromide, however, is somewhat less potent than the natural alkaloid in suppressing parasympathetically innervated secretions and also induce fewer side effects¹⁵. De Bré²² found that use of 50-100 mg methantheline is required orally to regulate salivation, but its use suppressed gastric

secretion and motility. Its use in dentistry through oral administration proved unsatisfactory. First evidence of the onset of this suppression may be a thickening of the saliva. Thus, the anticholinergic blockade appears to inhibit the serous gland units before the mucous secretory unit¹⁵. Propantheline has shown to be 5 times stronger than methanline¹⁵. Clonidine (0.2mg) an antihypertensive and methantheline (50 mg) have shown almost the same results as an antisialogogue²³. For the specified reduction in salivary flow, the oral administration of atropine, scopolamine, or methantheline and propantheline should precede the clinical procedure by 1 to 2 h, half to 1 h, or one-half an hour, respectively. Medications with anti sialogogic effects include probanthine (7.5 to 15mg), robinul (1 to 2 mg), saltropine (0.4 mg) and antipasbentyl (10 to 20mg). Anticholinergic drugs are contraindicated in patients with glaucoma, myasthenia, prostatic hypertrophy, various gastrointestinal disorders like ulcerative colitis, obstructive disease, intestinal atony²⁴.

Antisialogogues used in dentistry is not a new phenomenon as it exists in the literature since the last 30 years. There are many studies and review articles which have shown its use in favour of many dental procedures mainly in prosthodontics and orthodontics, but all studies indicated that antisialogogues were useful in reducing salivary flow to facilitate dental treatment, even though they have no consensus for use in dentistry and proper indications or guidelines for its use in dentistry²⁵⁻²⁷. Antisialogogue helps in reduction of salivary flow but does it help in reducing chairside time or improves the quality of impression or restorations like improving the integrity, longevity, improving bonding protocol, etc all these parameters have not been evaluated. Another important factor is patient satisfaction, this was evaluated only in one study using a

questionnaire and the results showed that the patient had better experience of dental treatment when antisialogogue was administered. Use of antisialogogue can help the dentist aid better results of some tedious procedures and can also help by having better patient cooperation. Further comparative studies should be done to prove if use of antisialogogue is beneficial for yielding better results.

Conclusion

Antisialogogues have shown promising results in reduction of salivary flow, mainly the anticholinergic drugs. To support the use of antisialogogues during dental procedures or to reduce chair time do not have any strong scientific evidence. The side effects of using antisialogogue for a nonmedical indication only for some particular dental procedures have not been examined.

References

1. Gibson J, Robertson D. The mouth and salivary glands [Internet]. Oxford Textbook of Medicine. 2020. p. 2797–827. Available from: [http:// dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/med/ 9780198746690.003.0293](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/med/9780198746690.003.0293)
2. Cianga CM, Antohe I, Zlei M, Constantinescu D, Cianga P. Saliva leukocytes rather than saliva epithelial cells represent the main source of DNA [Internet]. Vol. 24, Revista Romana de Medicina de Laborator. 2016. p. 31–44. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/rrlm-2016-0011>
3. Humphrey SP, Williamson RT. A review of saliva: Normal composition, flow, and function [Internet]. Vol. 85, The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry. 2001. p. 162–9. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1067/mpr.2001.113778>
4. Prevalence of Different Antisialogogues Used Before Master Impression: A Retrospective Study [Internet]. Vol. 12, International Journal of

- Pharmaceutical Research. 2020. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.31838/ijpr/2020.12.02.0259>
5. Rudd KD, Morrow RM. Premedication: an aid in obtaining accurate complete denture impressions. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1967 Aug;18(2):86–9.
 6. Dobkin AB, Wyant GM, Aasheim GM. Antisialagogue drugs in man; comparison of some anticholinergic and sedative-antihistamine drugs. *Anaesthesia.* 1958 Jan;13(1):63–7.
 7. Kuijpers MAR, Vissink A, Ren Y, Kuijpers-Jagtman AM. The Effect of Antisialagogues in Dentistry [Internet]. Vol. 141, *The Journal of the American Dental Association.* 2010. p. 954–65. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2010.0309>
 8. Patel PS, Ghezzi EM, Ship JA. Xerostomic complaints induced by an anti-sialogogue in healthy young vs. older adults. *Spec Care Dentist.* 2001 Sep;21(5):176–81.
 9. Edgerton M, Tabak LA, Levine MJ. Saliva: a significant factor in removable prosthodontic treatment. *J Prosthet Dent.* 1987 Jan;57(1):57–66.
 10. Laguna L, Fiszman S, Tarrega A. Saliva matters: Reviewing the role of saliva in the rheology and tribology of liquid and semisolid foods. Relation to in-mouth perception [Internet]. Vol. 116, *Food Hydrocolloids.* 2021. p. 106660. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106660>
 11. Carpenter G. *Dry Mouth: A Clinical Guide on Causes, Effects and Treatments.* Springer; 2014. 218 p.
 12. Einhorn OM, Georgiou K, Tompa A. Salivary dysfunction caused by medication usage. *Physiol Int.* 2020 Jun;107(2):195–208.
 13. Contarino MF, Fiorella Contarino M, Albanese A. Hypersalivation [Internet]. *Clinical Uses of Botulinum Toxins.* p. 201–28. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511544842.010>
 14. Hypersalivation (Ptyalism) [Internet]. *Clinical Veterinary Advisor.* 2012. p. 874. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/b978-1-4160-9979-6.00314-7>
 15. Olinger AM. Reduction of salivation by addition of methantheline bromide to local anesthetics: a preliminary report [Internet]. Vol. 61, *The Journal of the American Dental Association.* 1960. p. 548–54. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1960.0228>
 16. Lucia VO. *Modern Gnathological Concepts--updated.* Quintessence Publishing (IL); 1983. 439 p.
 17. Roelofs T, Merkens N, Roelofs J, Bronkhorst E, Breuning H. A retrospective survey of the causes of bracket- and tube-bonding failures [Internet]. Vol. 87, *The Angle Orthodontist.* 2017. p. 111–7. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2319/021616-136.1>
 18. Jorgensen NB. Premedication in prosthodontics [Internet]. Vol. 3, *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry.* 1953. p. 675–81. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(53\)90065-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(53)90065-1)
 19. Leonard M, Sollmann T. *A Manual of Pharmacology* [Internet]. Vol. 37, *The American Journal of Nursing.* 1937. p. 219. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3414059>
 20. Bernstein CA, Waters JH, Torjman MC, Ritter D. Preoperative glycopyrrolate: Oral, intramuscular, or intravenous administration [Internet]. Vol. 8, *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia.* 1996. p. 515–8. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0952-8180\(96\)00116-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0952-8180(96)00116-x)
 21. Rachana PB, Sequeira J. Effect of Intramuscular Atropine Sulphate and Glycopyrrolate on Heart Rate

- and Salivary Secretion in Patients Undergoing Minor Oral Surgical Procedure. *Cureus*. 2020 Nov 30;12(11):e11780.
22. de Bre AL. A clinical report of 100 cases using bantnine as an antisialogogue in dental procedures. *Dent Dig*. 1951 May;57(5):210–1.
23. Wilson EL, Whitsett LD, Whitsett TL. Effects of methantheline bromide and clonidine hydrochloride on salivary secretion [Internet]. Vol. 52, *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1984. p. 663–5. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(84\)90138-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(84)90138-0)
24. Felpel LP. A review of pharmacotherapeutics for prosthetic dentistry: Part II [Internet]. Vol. 77, *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*. 1997. p. 293–305. Available from: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3913\(97\)70187-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-3913(97)70187-2)
25. Sherman CR, Sherman BR. Atropine sulfate--a current review of a useful agent for controlling salivation during dental procedures. *Gen Dent*. 1999 Jan;47(1):56–60; quiz 62–3.
26. Rinchuse DJ, Rinchuse DJ, Sprecher R. Clinical pharmacology for the orthodontist. *Am J Orthod*. 1981 Mar;79(3):273–81.
27. Sapkos SW. The use of antisialogogues in periodontal and restorative dentistry. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*. 1984;4(4):42–9.