ISSN (O) : 2582-3271
PubMed-National Library of Medicine - ID: 101766917

International Journal of Dental Science and Clinical Research (IJDSCR)

Dr. Angel Vaidic Publication

Available Online at: http://www.ijdscr.org

Volume – 2, Issue – 5, September - October - 2020, Page No. : 11 - 17

Fixation Methods During Vestibuloplasty Procedures

[1]Dr. Magan Lal Chaturvedi, MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, India.

[2]Dr. Sucheta Jala, Senior Lecturer, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, India.

[3]Dr. Garima, MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, India.

[4]Dr. Dax Abraham, Professor & HOD, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, India.

Citation Of This Article: Dr. Magan Lal Chaturvedi, Dr. Sucheta Jala, Dr. Garima, Dr. Dax Abraham, "Fixation Methods During Vestibuloplasty Procedures", IJDSCR September - October - 2020, Vo2. – 2, Issue -5, P. No. 11-17.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Magan Lal Chaturvedi, MDS, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Manav Rachna Dental College, Faridabad, India.

Type of Publication: A Review Article

Conflicts of Interest: Nil

Abstract

Ten patients with shallow mandibular labial sulcus (1-4 mm) an high mentalis muscle attachment were selected for this study. All the patients underwent Clarks' vestibuloplasty procedure. Five patients received impacted posts and other five-polyethylene tube with sutures as the fixation method for the apically positioned flap. Collagen membrane was used as the graft in all patients. All patients were reviewed at the end of 10 days, 1 month, and 3 months. Depth of the vestibule was recorded. Stents were not used in any of the patients. The measurements recorded were subjected to statistical analysis using independent Student't' test.

Results: A total gain of 7.11 mm (SD=.21) for polyethylene tube fixations group and 6.79 mm (SD=.50) was achieved at the end of 3rd month. Relapse percentage at the end of three months for polyethylene tube with sutures fixation method ranged between 16.6% and 28% with a mean of 22.684% (SD 5.046), and for impacted posts the relapse percentage ranged between 16.6%and 28.5% with a mean relapse of 22.959% (SD 7.797), on comparison p=.949 (p>.005) which is statistically not significant.

Interpretation and Conclusion: Both the methods of fixation yielded satisfactory results concerning the depth

gained and relapse percentage. Need to use the stents was eliminated. There was no formation of sharp "V" at the newly created sulcus. Wound healing was uneventful. All the patients were comfortable and satisfied with their prosthesis.

Keywords: Vestibuloplasty; Relapse; Impacted Post; Polyethylene Tube; Collagen; Stents.

Introduction

Complete dentures are one of the most commonly used prosthesis. Providing complete dentures with optimum form and function is the primary aim of the dentist for the edentulous patients. A successful complete denture is both stable and retentive. It usually cannot be dislodged by normal function of the oral and circumoral musculature.[1] Ideally an adequate bone height with favorable soft tissue and favorable muscle attachments is desired. One of the many problems associated with the use of complete dentures is the loosening of lower dentures either immediately or after prolonged use, rendering the denture non-functional and non-esthetic. The cause of loosening of denture is gradual but progressive ridge resorption. Occasionally there is such a high degree of resorption of mandibular residual alveolar ridge due to prolonged use of prosthesis, long standing periodontal disease (diabetes mellitus, osteoporosis). These result in reduction in depth of sulcus, leads to higher attachment of paraoral musculature. The action of these muscles renders the prosthesis unstable and less retentive.[2] Inspite of the advances in the dental material sciences for prosthetic rehabilitation of edentulous mouth and a better understanding of oral physiology, there still remains a large number of individuals who are unhappy with their prosthesis, enduring functional shortcomings, speech difficulties and compromised appearance due to an incompatible oral environment.[3] There are two primary reasons for altering the soft tissues of denture seating area and allow deepening of the flange area, to provide increased resistance to displacement of denture and to provide stable soft tissue upon which the dentures can rest.[4]The secondary epithelialization Technique by Kazanjian 1935, has undergone many modifications over the years. As the wound created heals by re-epithelialization, 50% of the gained vestibular depth was lost during healing phase due to wound contracture and relapse.[5,6] This led to use of various graft materials like split thickness skin grafts [2,7] xenogenous fascial grafts,[8] palatal mucosal graft,[9,10,11] collagen membrane[3] etc.. There also have been various methods of retaining the apically positioned flap and reducing the relapse i.e., the use of surgical stents with circum-mandibular wire fixation during healing.[12] of concern with various Vestibuloplasty procedures is the sharp "V" that is created in the depth of the vestibule. This sharp "V" constitutes a problem for both, the prosthodontist and the patient.[3,14] Impacted posts have been used for the fixation of apically positioned flap after the Vestibuloplasty procedure.[15] A Polyethylene Tube can also be used for the fixation of the apically position flap, this also helps in eliminating the formation of sharp "V" at the depth of the vestibule.[14] Kollagen, which is a biological membrane, is used to cover the residual defect, which prevents wound contracture and avoids a second surgical site, as is the case with palatal or buccal mucosal[3]grafts. Therefore, in this study a comparison was made to evaluate the efficacy of impacted post and polyethylene tube with sutures for the fixation of the apically positioned flap after Clark's Vestibuloplasty procedure and the use of biological membrane (Kollagen) as the graft material.

Objectives

1. To clinically evaluate the efficacy of use of impacted

Posts in terms of vestibular depth and relapse in comparison with Polyethylene Tubes with Sutures as the fixation methods in mandibular labial vestibuloplasty procedures.

2. To avoid the need to use stents after mandibular labial vestibuloplasty procedures.
3. To eliminate the sharp "V" at the depth of newly created sulcus.

Materials and Methods

Out of the total number of edentulous patients requiring vestibuloplasty, referred by Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Chhattisgarh Dental College Research Institute, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh; 10 patients who satisfied inclusion criteria were selected for the study. The selected patients were divided randomly in two Groups. 5 out of the selected 10 patients underwent vestibuloplasty with polyethylene tube with sutures as fixation method (Group 1) and other 5 underwent vestibuloplasty procedure with impacted post as a fixation method (Group 2). In all patients collagen membrane was used as a graft material to cover the surgical defect.The study performed in one year period from January 2013 to January 2014.

Method of collection

Preoperative OPG radiograph was taken to assess the bone height, configuration and to plan the surgery. Preoperative and postoperative photographs were taken for immediate assessment and long term follow up. Depth of the sulcus was recorded preoperatively, immediate postoperatively, and during follow up at intervals of 10 days, 1 month, and 3 months using vernier caliper. All the patients were explained about the procedure in their understandable language and a written informed consent was obtained.

Inclusion Criteria

1. Completely edentulous patients with reduced vestibular depth in mandibular labial region including previous denture wearers.
2. Both sexes were included in the study.
3. Patients aged between 40 and 75 years were selected.
4. Patients having OPG radiographs revealing atleast 15mm of the basal bone in mandible were selected.

Exclusion Criteria

1. Severely debilitated patients.
2. Patients with local pathology.
3. Patients with flabby and knife edge ridges.

Surgical Procedure

Under strict aseptic conditions and Local anesthesia, Clark's labial vestibuloplasty technique was carried out. Supra periosteal incision labial to the crest of the ridge at the junction of free and attached mucosa, ending just short of the mental foramina on either side was taken. A supra periosteal flap was then dissected with sharp scissors and care was taken to protect the mental nerves. More than 5 mm of mentalis muscle attachment was preserved above the inferior border of the mandible. Muscle fibers and loose connective tissue were meticulously separated from the periosteum. Due care was taken to keep the periosteum intact so as to provide a good bed for the graft. The flap was sufficiently undermined so that it could stay in its new position without tension. It was sutured to the periosteum at the base of the newly extended vestibule using 4-0 catgut interrupted sutures. In Group 2 patients , 3 holes were drilled using surgical handpiece and 702 bur at three points. Impacted post inserted, the flap sutured to the holes of the posts.

Collagen membrane of size 5 cm x 5 cm was taken out from the pack, washed with normal saline and cut into the required dimensions. It was then secured to the periphery

of the recipient site with the help of 3-0 mersilk interrupted sutures. The depth of the sulcus was measured and recorded.

In Group 2 patients, Polyethylene tube was cut to the appropriate size and applied both extra orally and intra orally and fixed with 1-0 mersilk suture. Appropriate antibiotics and anti-inflammatory analgesics were prescribed.

Results

Among the 10 patients, 8 were male and 2 were female patients, age ranged between 40 and 75 years. 2 of the 10 patients were old denture wearers. Basal bone height measured of the OPG radiograph ranged between 24mm and 38mm. The preoperative sulcus depths in the two comparison groups, polyethylene tube as fixation method, depth ranged between 2 mm and 4 mm with a mean of 2.29 mm (S.D.= 0.76), where as in the group which received impacted posts as the fixation method ranged between 1 mm and 4 mm with a mean of 2.71 mm (S.D.=1.11). Sulcus depth was measured preoperatively, immediate post operatively, 10th post operative day, at 1 month and 3 months for both the groups of patients. The mean increased sulcus depth at immediate post op period was 12.2mm (SD 1.10) for Group1 and 12.4mm (SD1.14) for Group 2. At 1 month follow up mean sulcus depth was 10.3mm (SD 0.68) for Group 1 and 10.4mm (SD 0.55) for Group 2. At three months post op Group 1 mean sulcus depth of 9.44mm (SD 0.55) and for Group 2, 9.5mm (SD 0.71) were recorded. Relapse percentage for Group 1 ranged between 16.6% and 28% with a mean of 22.684 (S.D. =5.046), and for Group 2 ranged between 16.6% and 28.5% with a mean of 22.959 (S.D. =7.797). For comparison between the two Groups, an independent student 't' was applied ,the p= 0.784 for immediate sulcus depth, p= 0.809 for 3 months, p=.949 for the relapse percentage. All the values obtained p > .005, which proved that though the relapse percentage was fixations considering the relapse percentage.

Discussion

After removal of natural teeth, remodeling of the alveolar process results in reduction in the height and width of the residual ridges. In a mixed longitudinal study of edentulous individuals covering 25 yrs of con upper ridge. The continued resorption, especially of the lower ridge, therefore, constitutes a serious prosthodontic problem. we found most of the patients showed shallow mandibular labial vestibule (1-4mm)

Hence in our study we chose to perform Clark's vestibuloplasty procedure for all the patients. All the patients included in this study were as per the indications of vestibuloplasty procedure as given by James Amphlett11in 1982.[11]

The main concern after vestibuloplasty procedure is the relapse of the gained surgical depth of the vestibule due to healing by secondary epithelialization. There are two In our study, we have used and compared the efficacy of polyethylene tube with sutures and impacted posts for fixation of flap after vestibuloplasty and results were compared with regards to relapse percentages. The duration of fixation was 10 days. Surgical site healing was satisfactory by 10th postoperative day and complete healing occurred within 4 weeks. There were no signs of scar tissue at the surgical site, and prosthetic rehabilitation was considered within 4-6 weeks to prevent loss of vestibular depth in early postoperative period as suggested[13]by Liposky R B.In our study mean preoperative depth was 2.29 mm (SD= 0.76) for polyethylene tube with sutures fixation group and 2.71 mm (SD =1.11) for impacted post fixation group. The mean immediate postoperative depth for polyethylene tube

fixation group 12.2 mm (SD=1.10) and for impacted post fixation group it was 12.4 mm (SD=1.14). Mean of the depth of the sulcus at end of 3rd month for polyethylene tube fixation was 9.4 mm (SD=.55) and for impacted post group 9.5 mm (SD=7.1). A total gain of7.11 mm (SD=.21) for polyethylene tube fixations group and 6.79 mm (SD=.50) which was comparable with a mean gain of 5.7 mm (SD=2.2) as described by Fouad Al1[4]Mahady Al Belasy. As they did not use any graft tocover the denuded surgical site that could be probable reason for reduced gain of sulcus depth by them.In our study percentage of relapse for polyethylene tube ranged between 16-28% with a mean 22.8% (SD 5.046%) and for impacted post 16-25% with the mean of 22.954% (SD=7.80). Thus the overall relapse is significantly lesser6than acceptable 50%, and similar to 19-22% as described[3]by Dr. K. Ranganath. On comparing the two methods offixation statistically for relapse percentage p=0.949 (p>0.005), it is statistically not significant. In our studystents were not used for any of the patients which avoids[25,49]the disadvantages associated with the stents.Another concern with vestibuloplasty procedure is formation of sharp "V" that is created in the depth of the vestibule. This sharp "V" constitutes a problem for both the prosthodontist and the patient. The prosthodontist has difficulty in extending the denture flange into this "V" area and patients have difficulty in cleaning this area.

None of our patient at the end of 3 months follow up had formation of this sharp "V" at the depth of the newly created vestibule as with the modification which have[13,14]been described.None of our patients had any of the complications which46,47are described after vestibuloplasty procedure anddenture tolerance was satisfactory by all our patients as[24]per Landsman HM.The overall gain of vestibular depth and the relapse rates were comparable for both impacted posts and polyethylene tubes with sutures as fixation method for the apically positioned flap after Clarks' vestibuloplasty procedure. With the use of these fixation methods need to use stent was eliminated hence avoiding the disadvantages associated with the same. With the use of collagen membrane as graft material, the advantages were avoiding a second surgical site, and decreased operating time. Good healing without scarring was achieved. Hence, collagen can be recommended as a good alternative to the skin graft / palatal graft etc,. All the patients were comfortable and satisfied with their denture.This study did have the limitation of small sample and short length of follow up.To conclude, we recommend the routine use of Clarks' vestibuloplasty procedure for patients with shallow mandibular labial sulcus and high mentalis muscle attachment with collagen membrane as the graft, and either impacted posts or polyethylene tubes with sutures as the fixation method. The findings of this study could be confirmed with a larger sample size and longer length of follow up, as the statistics of this study show a statiscally significant trend towards reduced relapse rates with the use of the two fixation methods compared.

References

1. Arthur H. Friedlander: Muscle tendon release and transposition for enhancement of mandibular denture stability. Oral surgery oral medicine, oral pathology; 1981:52(5): 461-4.
2. Sanders, Thomas J. Starshak: Modified technique for palatal mucosal grafts in mandibular labial vestibuloplasty. Journal of oral surgery 1975; 33: 950-952.
3. James Amphlett, William C, Colwell: Edentulous vestibuloplasty using the palatal graft technique. The Journal of prosthetic dentistry 1982; 48 (1) : 8-14.

4. John F Helflick,Daniel E Waite. Reconstructive Preprosthetic Surgery , Chapter20, in the textbook of Practical Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery by Daniel E Waite 3rd Edition 1987; 377-381. Lea and Febiger Publication. ISBN 0-8121-1028-5.
5. Richard B Liposky: Elimination of the "V" in the vestibuloplasty. Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery 1983; 41: 339-340.
6. Fouad Al–Mahdy Al-Belasy: Mandibular anterior ridge extension- A modification of Kazanjian vestibuloplasty technique. Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery 1997; 55: 1057– 59.
7. Philippe Bousquet, Sylvie Montal, and Philippe Gilbert: Mandibular vestibuloplasty and gingival grafts using impacted posts. International journal of prosthodontics 1997; 17: 235-240.
8. Thomas A Lynde: Preparation of the denture bearing area-An essential component of successful complete denture treatment. Quintessence international 1995; 26 (10): 689-95.
9. George A Zarb, S. Ross Bryant: Preprosthetic surgery in improving the patients' denture bearing areas and ridge relations chapter in Prosthodontic treatment for edentulous patients by Zarb and Bolender 2004:12th edition; 45' 110-122. Elsevier publication. ISBN 0-323-02296-0.
10. Jenning DE. Treatment of the mandibular compromised ridge, a literature review. Journal of prosthetic dentistry 1989; 61 (5): 575-59.
11. Shon – Yenkao, Tze – chenng Yeung, Kai – Feng Hung, I Chiang Chou, Chen Hsian Wu, and Richard Che
12. Shoa Chang: Transposition flap vestibuloplasty combined with implant surgery in the severely resorbed atrophic edentulous ridge. Journal oral implantology 2002; 28(4): 194 – 199.
13. Hillerup S; Eriksen E, Solow B.: Reduction of Mandibular residual ridges after vestibuloplasty. A two year follow up study comparing the Edlan flap mucosal and skin graft operations. International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery 1989, 18(5): 271-76.
14. Watson CJ: Changes to the lower third facial profile following mandibular sulculoplasty with free skin grafting. British Journal of oral and maxillofacial Surgery 1987; 25(6): 465-73.
15. Toine J.M. Huybers, Paul J.W. Stoelinga, Hans A. De Koomen and Heank Tideman: Mandibular vestibuloplasty Using a free mucosal graft. International journal of oral surgery 1985; 14: 11-15.
16. R. Bruce Donoff: Biological basis for vestibuloplasty procedure. Journal of oral surgery 1976; 34: 890-96.
17. Soren Hillerup: Healing reactions of relapse in secondary epithelialization vestibuloplasty on dog mandibles. International journal of oral surgery 1980; 9: 116 – 127.
18. H. Obwegeser: Surgical preparation of maxilla for prosthesis. Journal of oral Surgery 1964; 22: 127 – 134.
19. H. David Hall: Vestibuloplasty mucosal Grafts (Palatal and Buccal). Journal of oral Surgery 1971; 29: 786-91.
20. H. Tideman. A technique of vestibular plasty using free mucosal graft from the cheek. International journal of oral surgery 1972; 1: 76-80.
21. Blackee and Moore J.R.: Modified techniques in mandibular preprosthetic surgery. Journal of oral surgery 1970 Mar; 28(3):184-7.
22. Bernard J. Costello, Norman J Bells, M. Dextor Barber, Raymond J Fonseca: Preprosthetic surgery for

the edentulous patient. Dental clinics of North America 1996; 40(1): 19-38.

23. R. Hopkin: The lower jaw-vestibuloplasty and plasty of the floor of the mouth, Chapter 22 in Surgery of the mouth and jaws by J.R.Moore 1985: 465-483, 1st edition, Blackwell scientific publication. ISBN 0-632-00736-2

24. H. David Hall and A.N. O'Steen: Free grafts of palatal mucosa in mandibular vestibuloplasty. Journal of oral surgery 1970; 28: 565– 574.

25. Maloney PL, Garland SD, Stanwich L, Shepard NJ, Dokul: Immediate vestibuloplasty with fenestrated and intact full thickness mucosal grafts. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology 1976; 42 (5): 543-551.

26. Myron R Tucker: Advanced Preprosthetic surgery, Chapter 14 in Contemporary oral and maxillofacial surgery Peterson, Ellis, Hupp, Tucker 1993: 352-59; 2nd edition; Mosby Publication. ISBN 0-8016-6530

27. Louis H Guernsey: Preprosthetic surgery, Chapter 8 in Textbook of oral and maxillofacial surgery edited by Gustav O Kruger 1984: 126-131; 6th edition; Mosby Publication.

28. Surgical preparation for complete dentures, Chapter 5 in Syllabus of complete dentures by Charles M Heartwell and Arthur O Rahn. 1984: 168-76; 4th edition; Lea and Febiger publication. ISBN 0-8121-1003-X.

29. Evakus DS et al: Retrospective analysis of grafted and ungrafted mandibular vestibuloplasties. Journal of dental research 1980; 59:770.

30. Stone PA, Madden JW: Biologic factors affecting wound contraction. Surgery Forum 1975; 26: 547.