ISSN: 2582 - 3671
Pubmed - National Library of Medicine - ID: 101766917

International Journal of Dental Science and Clinical Research (IJDSCR)

IJDSCR : Dr.Angel Vaidic Publication
Available Online at: http://www.ijdscr.org
Volume – 2, Issue – 5, September - October - 2020, Page No. : 86 - 90

# Various Impact Of Polymer Coated Elastomeric Ligatures Versus Stainless Steel Ligatures On Retraction Rate

[1]Dr. Shankar Mahadevan, Assistant Professor, Department Of Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery (OMFS), Govt. Dental College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India.

[2]Dr. Sandeep Kmar, Assistant Professor, Department Of Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery (OMFS), Govt. Dental College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India.

[3]Dr Prushotam Pandit, Senior Resident, Department Of Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery (OMFS), Govt. Dental College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India.

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Shankar Mahadevan, Assistant Professor, Department Of Oral And Maxillofacial Surgery (OMFS), Govt. Dental College, Alappuzha, Kerala, India.

**Type of Publication:** Original Research Article

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

## Abstract

One of the new ligature materials introduced is super slick ligatures. The present study was done to assess the effectiveness on the rate of space closure between polymer coated super slick ligatures and stainless-steel ligatures during en masse retraction in maxillary dentoalveolar protrusion.

### Methods

Twenty patients were selected and randomly divided into two groups of ten each. During en masse retraction of maxillary anteriors, the archwire was ligated with super slick ligatures in group 1 and stainless-steel ligatures in group 2. The rate of space closure was measured from study models taken at monthly intervals for six months.

### Results

The mean rate of retraction of maxillary anterior teeth was higher with stainless steel ligatures. However, no statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups ($p > 0.05$).

### Conclusion

The polymer coated super slick ligatures and stainless-steel ligatures showed no statistically significant difference in en masse retraction of anteriors of maxillary arch. The super slick ligatures can be considered as suitable alternative to stainless steel ligatures during space closure.

**Keywords:** sliding mechanics, friction, super slick ligatures, stainless steel ligatures.

## Introduction

A combination of mechanical and chemical factors determines friction at the archwire-bracket-ligature interface. The factors that have been suggested to influence frictional resistance are bracket wire interaction, bracket material and wear of wire, bracket width and inter bracket distance, arch wire material, arch wire diameter and cross sectional shape, wire stiffness, bracket wire angulation, method of ligation, surface roughness of wire, sliding velocity and saliva [5]. The type of ligation employed to secure the archwire to

brackets can account for a part of frictional resistance occurring during sliding mechanics. Elastomeric ligatures are believed to exert 50 - 150 gms of force at the time of seating, thereby contributing to friction [2].

The role of archwire ligation in the generation of friction has received limited attention in the literature. To reduce frictional force from ligation, various methods have been used such as stainless-steel ligatures and self- ligating brackets. Stainless steel ligatures produce variable ligation forces and are time consuming to place [6]. Self-ligating bracket systems can lead to reduced treatment time and low frictional resistance, but are more costly [7].

Advantages offered by these modules include quick application and removal, enhanced patient comfort, fluoride release potential and availability in a variety of colors for better patient acceptance. However, their disadvantages are that the dentition and soft tissues may be adversely affected by microbial accumulation on the tooth surface around the bracket ligated.

One of the non-conventional elastomeric ligatures is 'super slick ligatures' made by TP Orthodontics, created with a hydrophilic coating so that when wetted by saliva the surface becomes slippery. It has a covalently bonded polymer coating to reduce friction, which is manufactured using Metafasix technology. It is an injection moulded polyurethane ligature dipped in a hydrophilic polymer blend of methylene chloride (600g), methyl ethyl ketone(400g) and polyvinyl pyrrolidone (10 g). This coating is then cured by air drying for 10 min and oven baking at 80°C for 20 min[9]. It is available in different colours.

Previous in-vitro studies and a few clinical studies have shown that nonconventional elastomeric ligatures can reduce frictional resistance compared with conventional ligatures, both during initial levelling and aligning, and in the retraction phase of orthodontic treatment. This study was undertaken to assess the efficiency of polymer coated ligation material in reducing friction by comparing the rate of space closure during en-masse retraction of anterior teeth in bimaxillary protrusion patients with stainless steel ligature.

**Materials and Methods**

This prospective observational study was done in the Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopaedics, Government Dental College, Thiruvananthapuram.


**Inclusion criteria**

1. Patients with proclination of upper anterior teeth with age 18 years and above.
2. Maxillary arch with well aligned teeth or with crowding.
3. Patients with full set of teeth in maxillary arch.
4. Patients without upper midline shift.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. Patients with craniofacial deformity and systemic diseases.
2. Patients having malocclusion requiring extractions other than first premolar.
3. Periodontitis with poor oral hygiene.
4. History of previous orthodontic treatment.

20 patients were selected based on above criteria and the patients were randomly divided in two groups.

- **Group 1 (Polymer coated group):** Consisted of 10 patients (n=10; pretreatment age>18years) who

were undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment and retraction of maxillary anteriors by sliding mechanics, ligated with super slick ligatures during retraction.

- **Group 2 (Stainless steel group):** Consisted of 10 patients (n=10; pretreatment age>18years) who were undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment and retraction of maxillary anteriors by sliding mechanics, ligated with stainless steel

After initial alignment and levelling, maxillary and mandibular study models were made and 0.019" x0.025" posted arch wire were placed for en-masse retraction of anterior teeth. The distance between the central pit of the first molar and the canine tip were measured on the maxillary study model with the digital vernier calliper. This recording was kept as the base reading to evaluate the amount of anterior retraction in subsequent intervals.

**Results**

Descriptive statistics were used to assess the amount of retraction of anterior teeth at each monthly interval for 6 months. The statistical comparison of two groups was carried out using parametric test. The mean and standard deviation of rate of space closure of group 1 with polymer coated ligatures and group 2 with stainless steel ligatures were 1.310 ± 0.045 mm/month and

1.319 ± 0.062 mm/month respectively (Table 1). Graph 1 shows the comparison of rate of space closure in mm/month in both the groups. The range in the rate of space closure between polymer coated (group 1) and stainless-steel ligature (group 2) groups were 0.132 mm/month and 0.206 mm/month respectively (Table 2). The difference between mean rates of space closure for groups 1 and 2 was found to be-0.009 (Table 3). The mean difference in the rate of space closure between groups 1 and 2 was -0.051 to 0.051 mm per month with 95% confidence interval (CI)

**Discussion**

Only two sets of study models, before and after retraction, would have served to determine the rate of retraction. However, at each interval of patient recall, study models were made to evaluate the amount of retraction of anteriors. This approach was aimed to observe whether there was any particular trend toward change in the rate of tooth movement with time.

The distance between the distal surface of the canine and the mesial surface of the second premolar was not considered for calculating the rate of retraction, as anchor loss, tipping of canines and premolars and changing gingival contours affect the reliability.

In the present study, the mean retraction rate for group 1 (super slick ligatures) was 1.310 ± 0.045 mm/month with a minimum value of 1.253 mm/month and maximum value of 1.385 mm/month. The mean retraction rate for group 2 (stainless steel ligatures) was 1.319 ± 0.062 mm/month with a minimum value of 1.228 mm/month and maximum value of 1.434 mm/month.

This could be explained by the reduced friction offered by stainless steel ligatures which permits the easy sliding of arch wire through the brackets. This result was similar to a study where stainless-steel ligation produced less friction when compared with elastomeric and self-ligation [10].

However, the result of the present study contradicts the result obtained in another study which showed that friction produced by elastomeric module is less than that produced by stainless steel ligatures [12]. Also, in one of the previous study, the steel ligatures produced greater friction than elastomers. Most likely, the lower friction values were the result of the coated ligatures possessing a lower coefficient of friction than the uncoated ligature.

This finding was similar to another study in which the frictional forces were found to be greater for superslick ligatures when compared with stainless steel ligatures [13]. The frictional forces of super slick ligatures were also found to be greater than self-ligating brackets [14]. It was found that elastomeric ligature loses elasticity with time and can alter the frictional force values[15].

But in another in vitro study the super slick ligatures produced lower levels of friction when compared to conventional uncoated ligatures [16]. Also, the use of super slick ligatures determined a reduction of friction comparable to self-ligating brackets, where the static friction at the module-archwire interface was reduced to 60% regardless of the bracket system [2].

Thus, the super slick ligatures were found to be a suitable alternative to stainless steel ligatures during retraction phase. In a previous study which compared frictional resistance between elastomeric and steel ligations, it was found that there was no difference between the two ligations, which is in accordance with the present study [16].

In two other in vivo studies where the rate of canine retraction was studied, no significant difference was observed between non- conventional and conventional ligatures [8]. In another study, a statistically significant difference in the rates of canine retraction between during mastication which vary between individuals have also not been considered.

In the present study en masse retraction of anterior teeth was assessed. More investigations are needed to study the clinical efficiency of polymer coated ligatures in rate of canine retraction. The retraction rate was the only parameter compared in the current study. More clinical studies are required to determine other parameters like change in first molar position, rotation and tipping of molars.

elastomeric and stainless-steel ligatures was observed, with the rate of canine retraction higher for the elastomeric ligatures [17]. Also, a significant difference was obtained in another study where the rates of canine retraction between self- ligating brackets and stainless-steel ligatures were compared with canine retraction rate higher for stainless steel ligatures.

One of the limitations of the study is that the study sample was too small to detect a significant difference between the two groups; however, the descriptive data showed that there were very small mean differences in the amount of space closure between the groups. Conventional methods like assessment using alginate impression and study models may not be accurate in measuring the different variables as the distortion of materials are greater. A digital model should have been a better choice to eliminate this bias.

Anchorage loss by mesial movement of molars has not been assessed in this study which is another drawback of the study. So, the distance between molar and canine may not be accurate to assess the amount of incisor retraction. The effects of other factors present in the mouth such as salivary lubrication, shock absorption of the periodontal ligament and stress-breaking effects

**Conclusions**

In this study to assess the rate of space closure in maxillary arch between polymer coated elastomeric ligatures and stainless-steel ligatures, the following conclusions were drawn:

1. The super slick ligature module and stainless-steel
   ligatures are effective in space closure.
2. The mean rate of space closure for stainless steel

ligature group is greater than super slick ligature group.

3. There was no significant difference in the rates of space closure in the maxillary arch between polymer coated elastomeric ligatures and stainless-steel ligatures.
4. The polymer coated super slick ligatures can be a suitable alternative to stainless steel ligatures for retraction of anterior teeth.

**References**


1. Crawford NL, et al. Physical properties of conventional and Super Slick elastomeric ligatures after intraoral use. Angle Orthod. 2010; 80(1):175-181.
2. Bednar JR, Gruendeman GW, Sandrik JL. A comparative study of frictional forces between orthodontic brackets andarch wires. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1991; 100(6):513-522.
3. Bortoly TG, et al. Sliding resistance with esthetic ligatures: an in-vitro study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2008; 133(3):341-347.
4. Schumacher HA, Bourauel C and Drescher D. The effect of the ligature on the friction between bracket and archwires. Fortschr Kieferorthop. 1990; 51(2):106-116.
5. Khambay B, Millett D and McHugh S. Evaluation of methods of archwire ligation on frictional resistance. Eur J Orthod. 2004; 26(3):327-332.
6. Kusy RP, Whitley JQ. Friction between different wire-bracketconfigurations and materials. Semin Orthod. 1997; 3(3):166-77.
7. Hain M, Dhopatkar A, Rock P. A comparison of different ligation methods on friction. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2006; 130(5):666-670.
8. Angolkar PV, et al. Evaluation of friction between ceramic brackets and orthodontic wires of four alloys. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1990; 98(6):499-506.
9. Ogata RH et al. Frictional resistances in stainless steel bracket-wire combinations with effects of vertical defections. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1996; 109(5):535-542.
10. Moore MM, Harrington E and Rock WP. Factors affecting friction in the pre-adjusted appliance. Eur J Orthod. 2004; 26(6):579-583.
11. Iwasaki LR, et al. Clinical ligation forces and intraoral friction during sliding on a stainless steel archwire. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2003; 123(4):408-415.
12. Thorstenson GA and Kusy RP. Comparison of resistance to sliding between different self-ligating brackets with second- order angulation in the dry and saliva states. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2002; 121(5):472-482.
13. Dholakia KK, Bhat SR. Clinical efficiency of nonconventional elastomeric ligatures in the canine retraction phase of preadjusted edgewise appliance therapy: an in-vivo study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2012; 141(6):715-722.